Case 2:14-cv-03692 (**) \$4 | Copyright \$4 | Filed | 06/16/14 | Page 1 of 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the number of initiating the civil docket sheet. ARE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRÚC)	TIONS ON NEXT PAG	E OF THIS	FORM.)	,		and crown or cr	, att 101 til	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Beth Anglin				Pennsbury School District					
(In) or a constitution	arm and a second of								
` '	of First Listed Plaintiff Bu		***************************************	County of Residence		-	NTF W		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
					THE TRAC	FOF LAND INVOL	VED.		
	Address, and Telephone Number	7)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Thaddeus P. Mikulski, 365 White Horse Aven									
Hamilton, NJ 08610 Telephone: 609-581-0	470								
reiephone. 009-301-0	470								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL	PARTIES (Pla	ce an "X" in One	Box for P	laintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government				(For Diversity Cases Only)			and One Box fo		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not	a Party)	С	itizen of This State		Incorporated or Pri	ncipal Place	4	□4
pun						of Business In Ti			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	С	itizen of Another State	2 🔲 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
		,	C	itizen or Subject of a	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□6
				Foreign Country	12 12 3	i orçığı Nation		ΔО	ЦО
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	' (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)						***************************************	
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	FORFETTURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPICY	OTHER	STATUTI	ES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJ		1625 Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False C		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injur Product Liabi		of Property 21 USC 881 [690 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 400 State Reapportion 28 USC 157 ☐ 410 Antitrust				ment
☐ I40 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/			430 Banks and Banking			ıg	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutica	í		PROPEI	CTY RIGHTS	450 Comme		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Slander Personal Injury 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability			830 Pater		☐ 460 Deporta ☐ 470 Rackete		ced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Pers			□ 840 Trade	emark		Organizat	ions
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Injury Produc Liability	۱ <u> </u>	LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 480 Consum ☐ 490 Cable/S		
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROI	ERTY 🗵	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 850 Securiti	es/Commo	dities/
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lend	ing C	Act] 720 Labor/Management	862 Black	: Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchan 890 Other S		etions
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Persona	1	Relations	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	☐ 891 Agricui	tural Acts	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Dam 385 Property Dam] 740 Railway Labor Act] 751 Family and Medical	865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 893 Enviror ☐ 895 Freedor		
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liabil	ity	Leave Act			Act		matzon
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETIT		790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement	FERED	MATAN SUITS	☐ 896 Arbitrat ☐ 899 Admini		acadura
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff		iew or Ap	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detaine			1	efendant)		Decision	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Va Sentence	cate		871 IRS 26 U	-Third Party SC 7609	950 Constitu State St		ìf
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General	L]	5,0 7,007	State Bi	114103	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer, w/Disabilities Employment	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION [462 Naturalization Application					
	1 — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other: 540 Mandamus &	!-	465 Other Immigration					
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condit ☐ 560 Civil Detained							
		Conditions of							
V OBYOTA		Confinement			<u></u>	·			~~~·
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in ⊠ I Original		anded from	∐4 R	einstated or 1 5 Trans	sferred from	l l 6 Multidist	trict		
		ellate Court		eopened Anot	her District	Litigation			
	Cir. d., He Ci., Jerry	1		(speci					
VI. CAUSE OF	29 U.S.C.621 et seq.	te under which you	are ming	(Do not cite jurisdictional status	tes untess divi	ersity):			
ACTION	Brief description of caus	e:			······································		***************************************	***************************************	
	Age discrimination - ter	mination of employ	ment.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION			N	DEMAND \$		HECK YES only i		-	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23,	F.R,Cv.P,			JI	URY DEMAND:	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE									
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD.					
0/0/14	e / ml	TALL !	///						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		per en					***************************************		
•			•						
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG JUD	GE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Beth Anglin,	CIVIL ACTION		
Plaintiff,	NO:		
vs.	COMPLAINT AND		
Pennsbury School District,	JURY DEMAND		
Defendant.			

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff, Beth Anglin, seeks in this action declaratory, injunctive and equitable relief, liquidated and compensatory damages, and costs and attorney's fees against Defendant, Pennsbury School District, for engaging in age discrimination.

JURISDICTION

- 2. This action arises under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 USC § 621 *et seq.* Jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims is invoked pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331, 1343 and 29 USC § 626(c) (1) and over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 USC § 1367.
- 3. Charges against Defendant alleging age discrimination were timely filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Pennsylvania Department of Human Relations. Plaintiff has exhausted her

federal administrative remedies as more than sixty days have elapsed since she filed her charge of discrimination, and has exhausted her state administrative remedies as more than one year has elapsed since she filed her charge.

VENUE

4. This action properly lies in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1391(b), because the Defendant conducts business in Bucks County, Pennsylvania and the events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in Bucks County, Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of the United States living in Yardley, Pennsylvania. She is 54 years old and was formerly employed by Defendant as an English as a Second Language and Pupil Achievement Teacher (ESL/PAT), which position she held at the time she was subjected to adverse employment decisions due to her age. Plaintiff worked as an ESL/PAT teacher for Pennsbury School District elementary and middle schools during the periods of 1994 to 2006 and 2009 to 2012. During the time Plaintiff was employed by the Pennsbury School District, she performed at or above expectations and received letters of recommendations concerning her performance.
- 6. Defendant, Pennsbury School District, is an employer, engages in commerce, and employs more than twenty employees within the meaning of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. Defendant, at all times pertinent hereto, was the employer of Plaintiff.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMLOYMENT ACT (Wrongful Termination and Failure to Promote on Basis of Age)

- 7. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 6 of this Complaint.
- 8. Plaintiff, an English as a Second Language Program Specialist, was graduated from the University of Pennsylvania in 1979 with a B.S. in General Arts and Sciences. In 1988, Plaintiff received a Graduate Certification Program for Elementary Education from Rider College. In 2004, Plaintiff received her English as a Second Language Program Specialist Certificate. Plaintiff worked as an ESL/PAT Teacher for the Pennsbury School District, in which she acquired substantial experience between during the periods of 1994 to 2006 and 2009 to 2012. Beginning in 2009, Plaintiff also worked at Bucks County Community College, as an English as a Second Language Instructor.
- 9. From 2009 until August 29, 2012, Plaintiff worked as an ESL/PAT at the Afton School of the Pennsbury School District. On August 2, 2012, a Vacancy Announcement for Certified Staff was posted by the Pennsbury School District, Human Resources Department. This posting was for a .4 ESL position at the Afton School effective August 29, 2012. Plaintiff submitted her application, resume, cover letter, with her letters of recommendation and copies of her certifications, as requested in the posting. Plaintiff was interviewed by Joseph Masgai, Principal of Afton Elementary School, Faye Mannick, Principal Walt Disney Elementary School and Mary-Margaret

Pannick, Supervisor of Assessment. Within a week of her interview, Plaintiff was informed that she had not been selected for the position. The person who was selected for the position was an individual, who was then approximately 26 years of age with minimal experience as an ESL teacher. Plaintiff's seniority and qualifications were superior to those of the new young hire.

10. Plaintiff requested Joseph Masgai and Mary-Margaret Pannick to provide reasons for the selection the other individual over her for the position. Joseph Masgai informed Plaintiff that the "The successful candidate had a solid ESL lens". He used this meaningless ambiguous justification despite previous praise for Plaintiff's performance as an ESL teacher, which included, among other praiseworthy statements the following: "Beth is an exceptional ESL teacher. Her understanding of curriculum, her sharp assessments of students and her supportive warmth make her an excellent candidate of choice." Mary-Margaret Pannick advised Plaintiff that she not been selected for the position, because it was "Important to study up on the WAPT to have knowledge of the WIDA exit test" An individual can only obtain this training through the sponsorship of the school district. This training involves a 3 to 4 hour video concerning the administration of a test in January of each year. This test has no relevance to the performance of an ESL teacher. Additionally, there would have been more than adequate time for Plaintiff to obtain the necessary training for the administration of the test in January, 2013. Moreover, the posting for the job only required ESL certification, for which Plaintiff possessed skills at the college level, far beyond the qualifications of an elementary school

position.

- 11. Defendant's articulated reasons for the selection of a substantially less qualified individual instead of Plaintiff were false and constituted a pretext. Respondent's selection of a younger individual with limited experience rather than Plaintiff for the position constituted discrimination on the basis of her age.
- 12. The Pennsbury School District terminated her employment on or about August 29, 2012, after it filled the position for ESL/PAT teacher. Defendant's decision to not promote Plaintiff to the ESL/PAT position and to terminate her employment were made on account of her age, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 623(a)(1) and, therefore, entitles Plaintiff to relief.
- 13. Defendant's aforesaid actions constitute a willful violation of 29 U.S.C. § 623 and, as such, entitles the Plaintiff to recover liquidated damages.
- 14. Plaintiff has no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged and is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury from her treatment by Defendant unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Beth Anglin, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter an order providing the following:

a. That Plaintiff recover from Defendant back pay with interest, front pay, compensatory damages, liquidated damages and such other monetary relief the Court deems just;

- b. That Plaintiff recovers from Defendant her costs, including expert witness fees and reasonable attorneys' fees, together with such other remedies as may be provided by law;
- c. That the Court grants such other relief, including equitable and injunctive relief, as it deems just and proper.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT (Wrongful Termination and Failure to Promote on Basis of Age)

- 15. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 14 of this Complaint.
- 16. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges all allegations of Count I as such facts also constitute violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Beth Anglin, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter an order providing the following:

- a. That Plaintiff recover from Defendant back pay with interest, front pay, compensatory damages and such other monetary relief the Court deems just;
- b. That Plaintiff recovers from Defendant her costs, including expert witness fees and reasonable attorneys' fees, together with such other remedies as may be provided by law;
- c. That the Court grants such other relief, including equitable and injunctive relief, as it deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

Dated: June 6, 2014 /s/ Thaddeus P. Mikulski, Jr. TPM 5593

Thaddeus P. Mikulski, Jr. 365 White Horse Avenue Hamilton New Jersey, 08610 (609) 581-0470

Attorney for Plaintiff, Beth Anglin

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

•			
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	***************************************
<u>CO9-581-0170</u>	0 609-051-047	11 mikulski copt	online re
Date /	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for Plain	FIFE
Chilia	THADDEUS P. MIK.	VL Ser (Du Bles / Mes	hle
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	\bowtie
commonly referred to a		racks (a) through (d) that are ecial or intense management by led explanation of special	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal inju	ury or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	uired to be designated for ar	rbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review of a decienting plaintiff Social Secur	ision of the Secretary of Health rity Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	s brought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE F	FOLLOWING CASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
In accordance with the Civplaintiff shall complete a Civiling the complaint and servide of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other parts.	vil Justice Expense and Dela ase Management Track Des we a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant doe shall, with its first appearant	ay Reduction Plan of this court, counsignation Form in all civil cases at the ti (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest not agree with the plaintiff regardinace, submit to the clerk of court and set Track Designation Form specifying the	ime of everse g said rve on
v. Pennsk	bury School	CIVIL ACTION NO.	
Bath A	exica.	CIVII ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 2:14-cv-03692-MSG Document 1 Filed 06/16/14 Page 10 of 10

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of Address of Plaintiff: 1355 James 10204 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? CIVIL: (Place / in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3. Dones Act-Personal Injury 3.

Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. Patent 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8.

Habeas Corpus 8.

Products Liability — Asbestos 9.

All other Diversity Cases 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 10. ☐ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. □ All other Federal Ouestion Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION 41KULS (EL M. counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought-Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)